

SILLY HOCHSCHILD COMPUTATION

ANATOLY PREYGEL

ABSTRACT. Suppose (M, f) is an LG model. There are ways in which the 2-periodic dg-category of matrix factorization $\mathrm{MF}(M, f)$ “wants to be” like (a 2-periodicization of) the dg-category $\mathrm{Perf}(\mathrm{crit}(f))$ of perfect complexes on the critical locus, although this is not literally true. In this note we show an amusing sense in which this is true: If (M, f) is a non-degenerate quadratic bundle over a scheme, then the statement holds at the level of Hochschild cohomology (and almost Hochschild homology).

1. INTRODUCTION

Notation 1.0.1. For the duration of this document: X is a smooth scheme over a characteristic-zero field k , $\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow X$ a vector bundle, and $q: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ is a non-degenerate quadratic form on \mathcal{Q} . Since q is non-degenerate, the critical locus $\mathrm{crit}(q) = X$. We will be interested in the k -linear dg-category $\mathrm{Perf}(X)$ of perfect complexes on X , and the $k((\beta))$ -linear (homological $\deg \beta = -2$) dg-category $\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Q}, q)$ of matrix factorizations for q on \mathcal{Q} .

Our computation will be based on two results from [P]:

Theorem 1.0.2 ([P, Theorem 9.1.7(ii)]). *Suppose \mathcal{Q} is a metabolic quadratic bundle, i.e., there is a sub-vector bundle $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{Q}$ such that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^\perp$. Then, tensor product with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ induces an equivalence*

$$- \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X((\beta))} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathrm{Perf}(X)((\beta)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Q}, q)$$

Theorem 1.0.3 ([P, Theorem 8.2.6]). *Since 0 is the only critical value of q , there are $k((\beta))$ -linear equivalences*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{HH}_{k((\beta))}^\bullet(\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Q}, q)) &= \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Q}^2, -q \boxplus q)}^{\otimes k((\beta))}(\overline{\Delta}_* \omega_{\mathcal{Q}}, \overline{\Delta}_* \omega_{\mathcal{Q}}) = \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathrm{QC}(\mathcal{Q}^2)}^{\otimes k}(\Delta_* \omega_{\mathcal{Q}}, \Delta_* \omega_{\mathcal{Q}})^{\mathrm{Tate}} \\ \mathbf{HH}_{k((\beta))}^k(\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Q}, q)) &= \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Q}^2, -q \boxplus q)}^{\otimes k((\beta))}(\overline{\Delta}_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \overline{\Delta}_* \omega_{\mathcal{Q}}) = \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathrm{QC}(\mathcal{Q}^2)}^{\otimes k}(\Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \Delta_* \omega_{\mathcal{Q}})^{\mathrm{Tate}} \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{\Delta}$ is the factorization of the diagonal $\Delta: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^2$ through the zero locus of the superpotential $-q \boxplus q$.

Using these, we will conclude

Theorem 1.0.4. *There is an equivalence*

$$\mathbf{HH}_{k((\beta))}^\bullet(\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Q}, q)) = \mathbf{HH}_k^\bullet(\mathrm{Perf}(X)) \otimes_k k((\beta))$$

while the analogous statement for \mathbf{HH}_\bullet requires taking coefficients in the (bimodule corresponding to) the line bundle $\det \mathcal{Q}^\vee [d]$

$$\mathbf{HH}_\bullet^{k((\beta))}(\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Q}, q)) = \mathbf{HH}_\bullet^k(\mathrm{Perf}(X), \det \mathcal{Q}^\vee) \otimes_k k((\beta))$$

Remark 1.0.5. – The right hand sides admit evident descriptions via HKR. Having to twist one of the two sides is to be expected from considerations of when $\mathrm{Perf}(X)$ and $\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Q}, q)$ should be Calabi-Yau: $\det \mathcal{Q}^\vee [d]$ pulls back to the relative dualizing bundle $\omega_{\mathcal{Q}/X}$.

- The identification of \mathbf{HH}^\bullet also follows formally from a Corollary of [P, Theorem 9.1.7] included in [P]: that $\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Q}, q)$ is an invertible $\mathrm{Perf}(X)((\beta))^\otimes$ -module category.
- Outside of the “massive” (i.e., non-degenerate quadratic) case, the above Theorem rapidly ceases to be true.¹

¹e.g., for $x^3: \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ one can check that $\pi_* \mathbf{HH}_{k((\beta))}^\bullet(\mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^1, x^3))$ identifies with the 2-periodization of the Jacob ring $k[x]/(3x^2)$ while $\pi_* \mathbf{HH}_k^\bullet(\mathrm{Perf}(\mathrm{crit}(x^3)))$ is $k[x]/x^2$ in degree 0 and k in all negative degrees. \uparrow

2. PROOF

2.0.6. Equip $\mathcal{Q} \times_X \mathcal{Q}$ and \mathcal{Q}^2 with the superpotential $-q \boxplus q$, and let $(\mathcal{Q} \times_X \mathcal{Q})_0$ and $(\mathcal{Q}^2)_0$ denote the respective fibers over zero. Consider the commutative diagram, with Cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{Q} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Delta}} & (\mathcal{Q} \times_X \mathcal{Q})_0 & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{Q} \times_X \mathcal{Q} & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\ & \searrow \tilde{\Delta} & \downarrow h_0 & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow \Delta_X \\ & & (\mathcal{Q}^2)_0 & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathcal{Q}^2 & \xrightarrow{q} & X^2 \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}$ is the indicated factorization of the relative diagonal $\Delta: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \times_X \mathcal{Q}$ through the zero-locus of the superpotential.

2.0.7. Note that $-q \boxplus q: \mathcal{Q} \times_X \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ is a non-degenerate quadratic bundle on X : It is the *hyperbolic* quadratic bundle normally denoted $\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \perp \mathcal{Q}$. The diagonal $\Delta_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is a Lagrangian subspace, so that $\tilde{\Delta}_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ generates the equivalence of [Theorem 1.0.2](#). Let $d = \dim_X \mathcal{Q}$ be the fiber dimension of \mathcal{Q} and $\omega' = \mathbb{D}((\det \mathcal{Q})[-d]) = \omega_X \otimes \det \mathcal{Q}^{\vee}[d]$, so that the usual determinant formula gives $\omega_{\mathcal{Q}} = \omega'|_{\mathcal{Q}}$; by the projection formula, $\tilde{\Delta}_* \omega_{\mathcal{Q}} = \omega' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \tilde{\Delta}_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}$. Since h_0 is a base-change of Δ_X and X is smooth, it is of finite Tor-dimension.

2.0.8. By [Theorem 1.0.2](#), [Theorem 1.0.3](#), and plenty of base-change

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{HH}_k^{\bullet((\beta))}(\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Q}, q)) &= \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Q}^2, -q \boxplus q)}^{\otimes k((\beta))} \left((h_0)_* \tilde{\Delta}_* \omega_{\mathcal{Q}}, (h_0)_* \tilde{\Delta}_* \omega_{\mathcal{Q}} \right) \\ &= \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Q} \times_X \mathcal{Q}, -q \boxplus q)}^{\otimes k((\beta))} \left((h_0)^* (h_0)_* \tilde{\Delta}_* \omega_{\mathcal{Q}}, \tilde{\Delta}_* \omega_{\mathcal{Q}} \right) \\ &= \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Q} \times_X \mathcal{Q}, -q \boxplus q)}^{\otimes k((\beta))} \left(\tilde{\Delta}_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{(\mathcal{Q} \times_X \mathcal{Q})_0}} (h_0)^* (h_0)_* \mathcal{O}_{(\mathcal{Q} \times_X \mathcal{Q})_0}, \tilde{\Delta}_* \omega_{\mathcal{Q}} \right) \\ &= \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Q} \times_X \mathcal{Q}, -q \boxplus q)}^{\otimes k((\beta))} \left(\tilde{\Delta}_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (\omega' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (\Delta_X)^* (\Delta_X)_* \mathcal{O}_X), \tilde{\Delta}_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega' \right) \\ &= \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathrm{Perf}(X)}^{\otimes k} (\omega' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (\Delta_X)^* (\Delta_X)_* \mathcal{O}_X, \omega') \otimes_k k((\beta)) \\ &= \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathrm{Perf}(X)}^{\otimes k} ((\Delta_X)^* (\Delta_X)_* \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_k k((\beta)) \\ &= \mathbf{HH}_k^{\bullet}(\mathrm{Perf}(X)) \otimes_k k((\beta)) \end{aligned}$$

2.0.9. And the analogous computation for \mathbf{HH}_{\bullet} :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{HH}_{\bullet}^{k((\beta))}(\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Q}, q)) &= \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Q}^2, -q \boxplus q)}^{\otimes k((\beta))} \left((h_0)_* \tilde{\Delta}_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}, (h_0)_* \tilde{\Delta}_* \omega_{\mathcal{Q}} \right) \\ &= \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Q} \times_X \mathcal{Q}, -q \boxplus q)}^{\otimes k((\beta))} \left((h_0)^* (h_0)_* \tilde{\Delta}_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \tilde{\Delta}_* \omega_{\mathcal{Q}} \right) \\ &= \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Q} \times_X \mathcal{Q}, -q \boxplus q)}^{\otimes k((\beta))} \left(\tilde{\Delta}_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{(\mathcal{Q} \times_X \mathcal{Q})_0}} (h_0)^* (h_0)_* \mathcal{O}_{(\mathcal{Q} \times_X \mathcal{Q})_0}, \tilde{\Delta}_* \omega_{\mathcal{Q}} \right) \\ &= \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{Q} \times_X \mathcal{Q}, -q \boxplus q)}^{\otimes k((\beta))} \left(\tilde{\Delta}_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (\Delta_X)^* (\Delta_X)_* \mathcal{O}_X, \tilde{\Delta}_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega' \right) \\ &= \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathrm{Perf}(X)}^{\otimes k} ((\Delta_X)^* (\Delta_X)_* \mathcal{O}_X, \omega') \otimes_k k((\beta)) \\ &= \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathrm{Perf}(X)}^{\otimes k} \left((\Delta_X)_* \mathcal{O}_X, (\Delta_X)_* (\omega_X \otimes \det \mathcal{Q}^{\vee}[d]) \right) \otimes_k k((\beta)) \\ &= \mathbf{HH}_{\bullet}^k(\mathrm{Perf}(X), \det \mathcal{Q}^{\vee}[d]) \otimes_k k((\beta)) \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [P] Anatoly Preygel, *Thom-Sebastiani & duality for matrix factorizations*, arXiv e-print (January 2011), available at [arxiv:1101.5834](https://arxiv.org/abs/1101.5834).